



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/881,215	06/15/2001	Peter A. Crooks	50229-267 5136	
7590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			FAY, ZOHREH A	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/881,215	CROOKS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zohreh A. Fay	1618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>		-· action is non-final.				
<u> </u>						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dienociti	·	,,				
· _	on of Claims					
•	☐ Claim(s) 5,7,9,11 and 13-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>5, 7, 9, 11 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/881,215

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13-20 are presented for examination.

The remarks filed on November 7, 2005 have been received and entered.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims5, 7, 9, 11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treatment of seizure using agmatine, does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing seizure using agmatine. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The factors to be considered whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir.1988). Among these factors are:

1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method of treating, ameliorating or preventing seizures using agmatine.

2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art does not recognize that the prevention of seizures is done easily. According to Lance, Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment, 43rd edition, Pages 946-952, different types of seizures are treated with different agents. There are no teachings directed to prevention of seizures.

3) The relative skill of those in the art:

Application/Control Number: 09/881,215

Art Unit: 1618

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The unpredictability of pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

5) The breath of the claims:

The claims are very broad and encompass a composition for treating, ameliorating or preventing seizures using agmatine.

6) The amount of direction or guidance provided:

Applicant's specification provides guidance for and it is only enabled for the treatment of seizures using agmatine. Applicant's specification does not set forth a representative number of examples to demonstrate the effect of agmatine on preventing seizures.

7) The presence or absence of working examples;

The examples in applicant's specification are drawn to the effect of agmatine in treating seizures. There are no examples directed to preventing seizures using agmatine.

8) The quantity of experimentation necessary;

Since compound structure and activity for such pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine the preventative effect of agmatine on seizures.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,215

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Rajasekaran for the reasons set forth on pages 3 and 4 of the office action of May 5, 2005.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the lack of data in the prior art demonstrating the effectiveness of agmatine against seizures. The allegation is not well taken. Applicant is reminded that obviousness does not require absolute predictability. Applicant's attention is directed to In re Lambert and Knort, 192 USPQ 278 (CCPA 1976) at 280 where the court stated ", the question under 35 U.S.C. 103 is not merely what the references explicitly teach, but what they would have suggested to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,215 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F



Zah Th